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The vapor-liquid equilibria of the $n$-hepatne-toluene sysiem were measured at $50,100,200,400$, and 760 mmHg . The results could be successfully correlated at least down to 50 mmHg by the Wlison equation with the parameters ( $\lambda_{12}-\lambda_{11}$ ) and ( $\lambda_{21}-\lambda_{22}$ ) of 1 atm pressure but could not be very satisfactorlly done by its equation with the other parameters ( $\Lambda_{12}$ and $\Lambda_{21}$ ) of the pressure.

Knowledge of the effect of pressure on vapor-liquid equilibria is important for the designing of low-pressure distillation units. The vapor-liquid equilibria of the $n$-heptane-toluene system at 1 atm pressure were measured by many researchers (3-5, 7 , 9), but there are no available data below this pressure.

In this report, isobaric data on this system are measured at $50,100,200,400$, and 760 mmHg , and the results could be predicted by the Wilson equation (8).

## Experimental Section

Purty of Materials. Both $n$-heptane and toluene used in this work were analytical grade ( $99 \%$ ) and were of commercial origin.

The purifications were carried out by wetted wall column with $1-\mathrm{m}$ length and 10 mm i.d. at 100 mmHg , where each purity of distillate was checked by a refractive index; however, the purities of both reagents could not be improved.

The absence of the impurities for those reagents was also checked by gas chromatography, using a 1 m long by 3 mm i.d. column with Silicon DC 500 at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Compared with the literature values ( 6 ), the average errors of both vapor pressures in the range of $100-760 \mathrm{mmHg}$ were $\pm 0.17^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Those physical properties were summarized in Table I.

Apparatus. A Pyrex glass vapor recirculating equillbrium still with a Cottrell pump is shown in Figure 1. The funnel of the pump does not entirely cover the liquid surface so that discharged vapor can ascend not only through the Cottrell tube but also from the outside of the pump; that is, the pump is designed to decrease the pressure drop between the surface and the condensed sections. The bottom section of the still is a cylinder of 60 mm height by 50 mm i.d., its upper section is a double cylindrical column of 220 mm height by 40 and 80 mm i.d., and a powder of diatom earth is tightly packed between the two cylinders as insulating material.

Condensation and fractionation were prevented by holding the wall temperature of the outside cylinder at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ above the boiling point. About 50 mL of the mixture was poured into the bottom of the still through a ball joint near the condenser and was agitated by a magnetic stirrer at about 300 rpm , Pressure was adjusted by a needle valve, and the vapor was circulated for 2 h .

Pressures were measured by a mercury manometer with 0.3 mmHg accuracy, and their reproducibilities were within 0.4 mmHg . Temperatures were measured with a standard mercury thermometer having an accuracy of $0.02^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and were reproducible within $0.05^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The liquid and vapor samples were taken out of the apparatus through their respective stopcocks after the vacuum pump was stopped and the pressure was recovered to the atmospheric

Table I. Physical Properties of Chemicals

| ${ }_{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{temp}}$ | density, $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}$ |  | refractive index, $n_{D}$ | pressure, mmHg | bp, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | exptl | lit. (6) | exptl lit. (6) |  | exptl | lit. (6) |
| $n$-Heptane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 0.6829 | 0.6835 | 1.38701 .3877 | 124.54 | 46.70 | 46.929 |
|  |  |  |  | 261.75 | 65.88 | 65.882 |
|  |  |  |  | 402.39 | 77.84 | 78.169 |
|  |  |  |  | 500.66 | 84.72 | 84.823 |
|  |  |  |  | 757.40 | 98.40 | $98.30^{\text {a }}$ |
| Toluene |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 0.8760 | 0.8670 | 1.49601 .4969 | 124.67 | 57.11 | 57.293 |
|  |  |  |  | 217.16 | 71.66 | 71.736 |
|  |  |  |  | 402.43 | 89.49 | 89.667 |
|  |  |  |  | 500.68 | 96.37 | 96.559 |
|  |  |  |  | 760.00 | 110.67 | 110.623 |

${ }^{a}$ Interpolated.
pressure. By the above mentioned gas chromatography, those compositions were analyzed, and their precisions were estimated to be within 0.003 mole fractions.

## Results

The experimental results are presented in Table II. The liquid-phase activity coefficients were calculated by the expression $\gamma_{l}=y_{i} P / x_{i} p_{i}$, where the vapor pressures of pure substances $p_{i}$ were obtained from the Antoine equation given by Hala et al. (1).

The activity coefficient-composition diagrams are described in Figures 2-6. The results at atmospheric pressure are in fair agreement with Yerazunis et al. (9) in Figure 2, while those for other pressures cannot be compared due to lack of available data.

Furthermore, all the results are ensured to be thermodynamically consistent by the Herington test (2).

## Discussion

The results are represented by the following Wilson equation (8).
$\ln \gamma_{i}=-\ln \left(x_{1} \Lambda_{i 1}+x_{2} \Lambda_{i 2}\right)+1-\sum_{K=1}^{2} \frac{x_{K} \Lambda_{K 1}}{x_{1} \Lambda_{K 1}+x_{2} \Lambda_{K 2}}$
where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Lambda_{i j}=\frac{v_{j}}{v_{j}} \exp \left[-\frac{\left(\lambda_{i j}-\lambda_{i j}\right)}{R T}\right]  \tag{2}\\
i \neq j, \quad i, j=1 \text { or } 2 \\
\Lambda_{11}=\Lambda_{22}=1.0
\end{gather*}
$$

The quantities of the $\Lambda_{12}$ and $\Lambda_{21}$ parameters were decided from the experimental results by the least-squares fit of the Q-function ( $=g^{\mathrm{E}} / R T$ ). Next, the quantities $\left(\lambda_{12}-\lambda_{11}\right)$ and $\left(\lambda_{21}\right.$ $-\lambda_{22}$ ) were determined by eq 2 , using the quantities of $\Lambda_{12}$ and $\Lambda_{21}$ and the mean temperatures of each pressure. Those appear in Table III.

Table II. Vapor-Liquid Equilibrium Data for the $n$-Heptane (1)-Toluene (2) System

| $\mathrm{mmHg}$ | $t,{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $x_{1}$ | $y_{1}$ | $\gamma_{1}$ | $\gamma_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 760.0 | 110.7 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |  |
| 757.3 | 108.7 | 0.046 | 0.085 | 1.375 | 1.010 |
| 758.2 | 108.0 | 0.072 | 0.123 | 1.300 | 1.017 |
| 761.2 | 105.8 | 0.176 | 0.262 | 1.208 | 1.031 |
| 757.7 | 104.8 | 0.242 | 0.345 | 1.184 | 1.021 |
| 758.1 | 104.3 | 0.270 | 0.372 | 1.161 | 1.032 |
| 757.3 | 102.6 | 0.375 | 0.481 | 1.133 | 1.046 |
| 759.7 | 101.4 | 0.498 | 0.582 | 1.072 | 1.091 |
| 756.7 | 100.1 | 0.617 | 0.679 | 1.043 | 1.135 |
| 758.5 | 98.9 | 0.816 | 0.846 | 1.021 | 1.181 |
| 761.8 | 98.6 | 0.905 | 0.915 | 1.007 | 1.280 |
| 757.4 | 98.4 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |  |
| 400.0 | 89.5 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |  |
|  | 88.5 | 0.024 | 0.053 | 1.579 | 0.997 |
|  | 88.0 | 0.038 | 0.076 | 1.446 | 1.003 |
|  | 86.9 | 0.075 | 0.144 | 1.422 | 1.004 |
|  | 85.9 | 0.119 | 0.213 | 1.383 | 1.004 |
|  | 85.6 | 0.127 | 0.228 | 1.389 | 1.002 |
|  | 84.4 | 0.195 | 0.311 | 1.285 | 1.011 |
|  | 84.1 | 0.210 | 0.330 | 1.283 | 1.011 |
|  | 82.9 | 0.290 | 0.406 | 1.187 | 1.038 |
|  | 81.4 | 0.409 | 0.516 | 1.124 | 1.073 |
|  | 79.9 | 0.570 | 0.651 | 1.069 | 1.117 |
|  | 79.4 | 0.645 | 0.707 | 1.040 | 1.151 |
|  | 79.2 | 0.701 | 0.750 | 1.023 | 1.177 |
|  | 78.7 | 0.771 | 0.807 | 1.018 | 1.205 |
|  | 78.4 | 0.847 | 0.870 | 1.011 | 1.229 |
|  | 78.1 | 0.958 | 0.964 | 0.998 | 1.260 |
|  | 77.9 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |  |
| 200.0 | 69.5 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |  |
|  | 68.8 | 0.014 | 0.034 | 1.671 | 1.004 |
|  | 67.0 | 0.081 | 0.161 | 1.355 | 1.001 |
|  | 66.9 | 0.086 | 0.171 | 1.459 | 1.002 |
|  | 65.2 | 0.169 | 0.282 | 1.306 | 1.015 |
|  | 64.5 | 0.193 | 0.326 | 1.356 | 1.008 |
|  | 63.6 | 0.252 | 0.373 | 1.228 | 1.049 |
|  | 62.9 | 0.317 | 0.446 | 1.196 | 1.041 |
|  | 61.8 | 0.418 | 0.536 | 1.139 | 1.068 |
|  | 60.7 | 0.548 | 0.632 | 1.069 | 1.139 |
|  | 60.1 | 0.641 | 0.710 | 1.049 | 1.153 |
|  | 59.9 | 0.671 | 0.732 | 1.041 | 1.175 |
|  | 59.8 | 0.704 | 0.761 | 1.036 | 1.171 |
|  | 59.5 | 0.809 | 0.837 | 1.005 | 1.249 |
|  | 59.1 | 0.910 | 0.921 | 0.998 | 1.319 |
|  | 58.7 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |  |
| 100.0 | 52.0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |  |
|  | 50.9 | 0.036 | 0.081 | 1.551 | 0.995 |
|  | 49.6 | 0.080 | 0.160 | 1.432 | 1.008 |
|  | 49.2 | 0.098 | 0.183 | 1.357 | 1.016 |
|  | 48.0 | 0.155 | 0.262 | 1.300 | 1.036 |
|  | 47.1 | 0.198 | 0.338 | 1.354 | 1.015 |
|  | 46.8 | 0.215 | 0.346 | 1.300 | 1.036 |
|  | 46.0 | 0.280 | 0.411 | 1.224 | 1.029 |
|  | 45.1 | 0.343 | 0.471 | 1.190 | 1.083 |
|  | 44.3 | 0.420 | 0.539 | 1.150 | 1.108 |
|  | 43.6 | 0.547 | 0.637 | 1.076 | 1.147 |
|  | 42.4 | 0.771 | 0.814 | 1.026 | 1.230 |
|  | 42.0 | 0.879 | 0.901 | 1.014 | 1.262 |
|  | 41.9 | 0.959 | 0.962 | 0.998 | 1.427 |
|  | 41.7 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |  |
| 50.0 | 36.3 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |  |
|  | 35.9 | 0.007 | 0.019 | 1.747 | 1.010 |
|  | 35.7 | 0.039 | 0.087 | 1.519 | 1.027 |
|  | 33.7 | 0.078 | 0.171 | 1.572 | 1.020 |
|  | 32.9 | 0.177 | 0.235 | 1.499 | 1.024 |
|  | 32.4 | 0.148 | 0.283 | 1.455 | 1.020 |
|  | 31.0 | 0.257 | 0.396 | 1.254 | 1.052 |
|  | 30.1 | 0.339 | 0.474 | 1.190 | 1.079 |
|  | 29.2 | 0.458 | 0.577 | 1.122 | 1.109 |
|  | 28.0 | 0.596 | 0.684 | 1.079 | 1.184 |
|  | 27.9 | 0.650 | 0.716 | 1.044 | 1.224 |
|  | 27.3 | 0.810 | 0.845 | 1.019 | 1.268 |
|  | 27.1 | 0.813 | 0.845 | .1.024 | 1.306 |
|  | 27.0 | 0.840 | 0.863 | 1.017 | 1.361 |
|  | 26.8 | 0.941 | 0.950 | 1.010 | 1.360 |
|  | 26.7 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |  |



| (1) Thermometer | (2) Condenser |
| :--- | :--- |
| (3) Diatom Earth | (4) Ribbon Heater |
| (5) Glass Wool | (6) Cottrell Pump |
| (7) Asbestos | (8) Magnetic Stirrer |
| (9) Manometer | (10) Buffer Tank |
| (11) Needie Valve | (12) Cold Trap |

Figure 1. Experimental apparatus.


Figure 2. Activity coefficient for the $n$-heptane-toluene system at 760 mmHg . The solid line is calculated by the Wilson equation (1) with respective parameters $\left(\lambda_{12}-\lambda_{11}\right)$ and $\left(\lambda_{21}-\lambda_{22}\right)$.

Table III. Wilson Parameters for the $n$-Heptane-Toluene System

| pressure, <br> mmHg | $\lambda_{12}-\lambda_{11}$, <br> cal/mol | $\lambda_{21}-\lambda_{22}$, <br> cal/mol | $\Lambda_{12}$ | $\Lambda_{21}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 760.0 | 29.8 | 220.1 | 0.6844 | 1.0474 |
| 400.0 | 87.1 | 208.0 | 0.6326 | 1.0421 |
| 200.0 | 196.1 | 126.9 | 0.5360 | 1.1515 |
| 100.0 | 181.2 | 152.6 | 0.5424 | 1.0906 |
| 50.0 | 341.0 | 66.1 | 0.4121 | 1.2386 |



Flgure 3. Activity coefficient for the $n$-heptane-toluene system at 400 mmHg .


Flgure 4. Activity coefficient for the $n$-heptane-toluene system at 200 mmHg .

The predictions of bubble points were made by using the following three pairs of Wilson parameters: employing the respective parameters $\left(\lambda_{12}-\lambda_{11}\right)$ and $\left(\lambda_{21}-\lambda_{22}\right)$ to each pressure, applying also the atmospheric parameter $\left(\lambda_{12}-\lambda_{11}\right)$ and $\left(\lambda_{21}\right.$ $-\lambda_{22}$ ) down to lower pressures, and adopting the other parameters $\Lambda_{12}$ and $\Lambda_{21}$ down to lower pressures as well. Namely those three pairs of parameters were used to obtain the vapor compositions and temperature at the given pressure and liquid compositions.

The differences between the predicted and experimental values of the vapor compositions and of their temperatures were


Figure 5. Activity coefficient for the $n$-heptane-toluene system at 100 mmHg .


Figure 6. Activity coefficient for the $n$-heptane-toluene system at 50 mmHg .
taken, and their average differences are reported in Table IV. Both correlations of the respective parameters and those of atmospheric parameters are almost equal on the vapor compositions, but the atmospheric correlations of the latter are somewhat inferior to those of the former concerning temperature. Adopting the other atmospheric parameters of $\Lambda_{12}$ and $\Lambda_{21}$, accuracies of both vapor compositions and their temperature decrease with reducing pressures.

Table IV. Average Deviations between the Predicted and Experimental Values by the Bubble Point Prediction

| pressure, mmHg | $\begin{gathered} \lambda_{12}-\lambda_{11}, \\ \lambda_{21}-\lambda_{22} \text { at } \\ \text { each pressure } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda_{12}-\lambda_{11} \\ \lambda_{21}-\lambda_{22} \text { of } 1 \\ \text { atm pressure } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\Lambda_{12}, \Lambda_{21}$ of 1 atm pressure |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Delta y_{1}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\Delta t^{b}$ | $\Delta y_{1}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\Delta t^{\text {b }}$ | $\Delta y_{1}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\Delta t^{\text {b }}$ |
| 760.0 | 0.003 | 0.2 | 0.003 | 0.2 | 0.003 | 0.2 |
| 400.0 | 0.004 | 0.1 | 0.005 | 0.3 | 0.006 | 0.4 |
| 200.0 | 0.005 | 0.1 | 0.006 | 0.3 | 0.008 | 0.5 |
| 100.0 | 0.006 | 0.1 | 0.004 | 0.4 | 0.007 | 0.6 |
| 50.0 | 0.005 | 0.2 | 0.005 | 0.4 | 0.010 | 0.7 |

$n=$ number of data.

## Glossary

$g^{\mathrm{E}} \quad$ molar excess Gibbs energy, cal/mol
$P \quad$ total pressure, mmHg
$p_{i} \quad$ vapor pressure of pure substance $i, \mathrm{mmHg}$
$Q \quad Q$ function $\left(=g^{\mathrm{E}} / R T=x_{1} \ln \gamma_{1}+x_{2} \ln \gamma_{2}\right)$
$R \quad$ gas constant, cal/(mol K)
$T, t$ temperature, $\mathrm{K},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$v_{i}$ molar liquid volume of pure $i$ at temperature $T, \mathrm{~cm} /$ mol
$x_{i}, y_{i} \quad$ mole fraction composition of component $i$ in the liquid and vapor phases

## Greek Letters

$\gamma_{i} \quad i$ component activity coefficient of liquid phase
$\Lambda_{12}, \Lambda_{21}$ Wilson parameters defined by eq 2
$\lambda_{12}$ - Wilson parameters, cal/mol
$\lambda_{11}$,
$\lambda_{21}-$
$\lambda_{22}$

## Literature Cited

(1) Hala, E., Wichterle, L., Polak, J., Boublik, T., "Vapor Liquid Equilibrium Data at Normal Pressures", Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1968, p 379.
(2) Herington, E. F. G., J. Inst. Pet., London, 37, 457 (1951).
(3) Markuzin, N. P., Paviova, L. M., Zh. Prikl. Khim. (Leningrad), 44, 311 (1971).
(4) Michishita, T., Arai, Y., Saito, S., Kagaku Kogaku, 35, 111 (1971).
(5) Rose, A., Williams, E. T., Ind. Eng. Chem., 47, 1528 (1955).
(6) Timmermans, J., "Physico-Chemical Constants of Pure Organic Compounds", Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1950, pp 60 and 150.
(7) Vilcu, R., Cenuse, Z., Rev. Roum. Chim., 17, 367 (1972).
(8) Wilson, G. M., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 86, 127 (1964).
(9) Yerazunis, S., Plowright, J. D., Smola, F. M., AIChE J., 10, 660 (1964).

# Thermodynamic Properties of the Molten Salt System Cesium Bromide-Cuprous Bromide 
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Molar volumes, excess molar volumes, partial molar volumes, and molar volume expansion coefficients were calculated for the binary molten salt system cesium bromide-cuprous bromide.

The experimental procedures and density results obtained in the system cesium bromide-cuprous bromide have been described previously (6). The temperature coefficients of the densities were evaluated at nine mole fractions over the complete composition range and in the temperature range 507-950 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, using the method of Archimedean displacement.

This paper reports on the calculation of molar volumes, excess molar volumes, partial molar volumes, and molar volume expansion coefficients in the system cesium bromide-cuprous bromide.

## Density and Temperature Coefficients of the Density

The experimental density data (6) were fitted to equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=a-b T \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho$ is in grams per cubic centimeter and $T$ in Kelvin, and are listed in Table I. Figure 1 gives an overview of the densities, calculated from the data in Table I, over the entire composition range at three chosen temperatures.

Table 1. Least-Squares Fits for the Densities Fitted to Equation 1

| mole \% of CsBr | a, $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10^{3} b, \underline{g} \\ \mathrm{~cm}^{-3} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | std dev | $\begin{gathered} \text { temp range, } \\ \mathrm{K} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100.000 | $4.244{ }_{9}$ | 1.223 | $a$ | $a$ |
| 85.097 | $4.283{ }_{4}$ | 1.323 | 0.0019 | 918-1226 |
| 74.239 | 4.4510 | 1.326 | 0.0020 | 880-1223 |
| 58.763 | 4.5864 | 1.377 | 0.0028 | 870-1222 |
| 40.543 | $4.617{ }_{3}$ | 1.231 | 0.0021 | 850-1226 |
| 30.000 | $4.932{ }_{2}$ | 1.400 | 0.0027 | 861-1223 |
| 19.961 | $5.021{ }_{7}$ | 1.300 | 0.0035 | 849-1227 |
| 10.467 | 5.0858 | 1.141 | 0.0058 | 840-1224 |
| $0.000^{\text {b }}$ | $5.282{ }_{8}$ | 0.916 | 0.0067 | 780-1224 |

## Molar Volumes and Excess Molar Volumes

Calculated values for molar volumes, standard deviations in molar volumes, ideal molar volumes, and excess molar volumes at three chosen temperatures are listed in Table II. Molar volumes $V_{M}$, ideal molar volumes $V_{1}$, and excess molar volumes $V_{E}$ were calculated from the equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
V_{M}=(1 / \rho) \sum x_{i} M_{i}  \tag{2}\\
V_{1}=\sum\left(x_{i} M_{i} / \rho_{i}\right)  \tag{3}\\
V_{E}=(1 / \rho) \sum x_{i} M_{i}-\sum\left(x_{i} M_{i} / \rho_{i}\right) \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

